Aggregation of asynchronous electric power consumption time series knowing the integral

Raja Chiky ISEP-LISITE BILab Joint Lab EDF R&D TELECOM ParisTech Paris, France raja.chiky@isep.fr Laurent Decreusefond TELECOM ParisTech UMR CNRS 5141 Paris, France decreusefond@enst.fr Georges Hébrail BILab Joint Lab EDF R&D TELECOM ParisTech UMR CNRS 5141 Paris, France georges.hebrail@edf.fr

ABSTRACT

More and more data mining algorithms are applied to a large number of long time series issued by many distributed sensors. The consequence of the huge volume of data is that data warehouses often contain asynchronous time series, i.e. the values have been sampled and are not anymore observed at the same instants. This is a problem when applying data mining algorithms to such asynchronous time series. The standard way to solve this problem is to interpolate intermediate points. We present here two new interpolation approaches which take into account the knowledge of the integral of the time series between two points. The first approach is naive and uses the history of slope values. The second approach is stochastic and provides a confidence interval of interpolated values. The two methods have been assessed experimentally on a real dataset of electric power consumption time series issued from smart meters.

1. MOTIVATION

Data warehouses are increasingly supplied with data produced by a large number of distributed sensors in many applications: medicine, military, road traffic, weather forecast, utilities like electric power suppliers etc. Such data are widely distributed and produced continuously as data streams. In order to be able to process and archive such data in data warehouses, data are often sampled temporally i.e. some records are removed either randomly or by optimizing some criteria (bandwidth of the server collecting the data, battery life of sensors, quality of data, ...). We focus in this paper on a particular case of distributed data streams: a collection of identical sensors each producing one unidimensional numerical time series, but providing at each timestamp both the value of the time series and the value of the integral between two timestamps. A typical example of such data is electric power consumption where each meter provides the instantaneous power at each timestamp and the energy consumed between the last and current timestamp.

The application considered in this paper is electric power consumption monitoring. It involves a large number of data streams issued from multiple remote sensors, each sensor corresponding to the meter of one customer. The forthcoming general deployment of communicating meters intensifies the need for collecting and analyzing electric power consumption data. It is not conceivable to load all such data into a data warehouse due to its volume (over 30 million meters in France), arrival rate (a measure up to every second can be observed) and spatial distribution. Data mining tasks on electric power consumption time series are related either to unsupervised or supervised data mining. Typical unsupervised data mining applications are related to the knowledge of customer behaviour, the definition of prices, the study of the relationship between customer equipment and power consumption, etc. Applications of supervised data mining algorithms are mainly related to the prediction of consumption but also to the prediction of customer characteristics. In many cases, these algorithms are not applied to individual time series but to aggregated ones for a selected subset of the customers, for instance the customers of a particular district or of a particular customer client group. In the case where the subsets are known in advance, there are several methods to do the job efficiently (see [6, 7]). But we assume here that the subsets of interest are not known in advance.

Several approaches have been investigated to reduce communication cost and space storage to feed the data warehouse. The most simple and efficient method is to select a uniform random sample of the set of meters which are all observed at the same timestamps. The estimation of the aggregated time series can be done by using standard survey theory approaches like the Horvitz-Thompson estimator. This approach (referred as *spatial sampling* in the following) gives very accurate results if the selected subset is large enough but fails when the subset is small, i.e. from 10 to 100 which is frequent in real applications (such subsets are called 'small domains' [8]). In [3], we proposed another approach (referred as *temporal sampling* in the following) which collects data from all meters but samples them temporally. Applied to electric power consumption data, the time series resulting from such a summarizing step are consequently not all observed at the same timestamps. The intuition is that such temporal sampling will provide better accuracy for aggregation on small domains since all meters are observed at several timestamps.

Aggregating several time series is then not trivial: it re-

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. To copy otherwise, to republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee.

EDBT 2010, March 22-26, 2010, Lausanne, Switzerland.

Copyright 2010 ACM 978-1-60558-945-9/10/0003 ...\$10.00

quires the different time series to be estimated at common timestamps and then to aggregate these estimations. The standard way to do so is to first interpolate the different time series, then select interpolated data points at same timestamps and finally compute the aggregated time series at these points. One usually uses interpolation or regression to address this problem. The difference between these two techniques is that the interpolation indicates a function that passes exactly through the known points, whereas the regression is a function that comes closest to points as much as possible under a given criterion (typically the least square criterion) without having to go through them. The latter method is used in practice when observations are noisy: this may come from uncertainty in measurements for example.

The quality of the interpolation process (or regression) is measured by estimating an error called "residue" in the literature. The aim is to check whether the interpolating function (or regression model) approaches the time series that we seek to rebuild. These approximation methods depend on some assumptions about the residue that are usually not verified. Among these assumptions: the residue is a random variable of mean equal to zero and a constant variance (this is called *homogeneity of variance* or *homoscedasticity*). Most results are based explicitly or implicitly on these two assumptions (homoscedasticity and normality), but in practice this is not always true. Recently, important techniques have emerged in the literature to model the phenomenon where residues vary over time, this is called *heteroscedastic*ity [1]. However, to the best of our knowledge, none of the proposed methods in the literature takes into account both the time series to estimate and its integral, as it is the case of electric power consumption data. We propose in the next section two techniques which encompasses these problems: (1) a naive one based on the use of the past distribution of slopes in the time series ; (2) a more sophisticated one based on a stochastic approach. These two approaches are assessed and compared on a real data set of electric power consumption time series.

TIME SERIES INTERPOLATION KNOW-2. ING THE INTEGRAL AND ERROR ES-TIMATION

Let us consider one time series for which measures at timestamps t_a and t_b were collected but measures between t_a and t_b were not collected due to a temporal sampling. We have the following properties:

- 1. Values $C(t_a)$ and $C(t_b)$ are known
- 2. Values between t_a and t_b are positive A

$$[t \in]t_a, t_b[C(t) \ge 0$$

3. Values between t_a and t_b must not exceed a maximum threshold (maximum delivered power for electric power consumption)

$$\exists c_{max} \quad \forall t \in]t_a, t_b[\quad C(t) \leq c_{max}$$

4. The integral E_{ab} between t_a and t_b is known (the integral corresponds to energy for electric power consumption).

$$\int_{t_a}^{t_b} C(t) = E_{ab}$$

We seek to estimate the points lying between t_a and t_b by interpolation taking into account the properties described above. We also want to estimate the residue of interpolation at each point.

2.1 Naive approach

The Naive approach is based on historical data related to the time series: for each time series the distribution of slope values is computed for some past consecutive measures. We assume here that all data points are available for a portion of the past for the time series and that the timestamps are equally distributed in time and numbered by integers. The slope between two consecutive values t1and t2 is then defined by C(t2) - C(t1). Given a value X, it is possible to compute a *Lower Limit* noted α_{min} and an Upper Limit noted α_{max} for slopes, corresponding to the probability that a random slope α is within the specified interval $[\alpha_{min}, \alpha_{max}]$, i.e.,

$$P(\alpha_{\min} \le \alpha \le \alpha_{\max}) = X$$

Values α_{min} and α_{max} will be used to build an envelope for the real curve between t_a and t_b , which respects the maximum value constraint and the known integral. In our experiments, the distribution of slopes appears to be a normal distribution. If a value X = 0.68 is chosen, this means that 68% of slope values in the past fall within 1 standard deviation σ of the mean μ , that is between $\mu - \sigma$ and $\mu + \sigma$. Note that computing capacity of electric sensors can be exploited in order to update slopes distribution.

2.1.1 Error estimation

This section describes the method used to build an envelope of possible curves between t_a and t_b respecting the constraints of bounded values for C and the known value of the integral. This envelope will represent an estimation of the interpolation error for all timestamps between t_a and t_b . The idea is that, given α_{min} and α_{max} , the value $C(t_a+1)$ cannot be outside the interval $[C(t_a) + \alpha_{min}, C(t_a) + \alpha_{max}]$ and so on until t_b . We also add constraints on bounds for C and on the known integral. This can be solved by the two following optimization problems corresponding to the lower and upper envelopes:

For each $t \in]t_a, t_b[$ Minimize and Maximize C(t)

subject to:

$$C(t-1) + \alpha_{min} \leq C(t) \leq C(t-1) + \alpha_{max}$$

$$0 \leq C(t) \leq c_{max}, t \in]t_a, t_b[$$

$$\sum_{t_a}^{t_b} C(t) = E_{ab}$$

$$t \in]t_a, t_b[$$

The first constraint defines α_{min} (α_{max} respectively) as a minimum (maximum) slope between all intermediate values to estimate. The second constraint is to state that values are positive reals and do not exceed the c_{max} maximum. The third constraint ensures that estimated values respect the constraint of integral. These problems are easily solved using linear programming optimization techniques such as simplex, in very limited time.

2.1.2 Time series reconstruction

The method used to estimate the envelope can also provide an estimation for all values between t_a and t_b . The idea is to reduce the envelope until the lower and upper envelopes coincide. This leads to the following optimization problem:

Minimize α

subject to:

$$C(t) - C(t-1) = \alpha, \quad t \in]t_a, t_b[$$

$$0 \leq C(t) \leq c_{max}, \quad t \in]t_a, t_b[$$

$$\sum_{t_a}^{t_b} C(t) = E_{ab}$$

$$\alpha_{min} \leq \alpha \leq \alpha_{max}$$

This optimization problem searches for the minimum value of α for which there is a solution giving an envelope. This is a linear programming problem involving the optimization of a linear objective function. Consequently, it can also be solved using standard linear programming optimization techniques.

2.1.3 Example

We illustrate the approach described above on an electric power consumption time series with timestamps every 30 minutes (48 values for one day). The computation of α_{min} and α_{max} was done on 100 past days by computing all the slopes between past consecutive values. Fig. 1 depicts the distribution of these slopes. The distribution of slopes is almost a normal distribution, with a mean nearly equal to 0 (there is no trend in the consumption for this customer). The $\alpha_{min} = -8.18$ and $\alpha_{max} = 8.18$ correspond to a probability equal to 68% that a slope α is within the interval $[\alpha_{min}, \alpha_{max}]$.



Figure 1: Histogram of past slopes

Estimation between two collected values.

We consider the following sub-time series featuring 5 values:

 $C = \{31.67, 30.33, 24.33, 23, 28\}$

Suppose that temporal sampling has kept only 1 value over 4: only C(0) = 31.67 and C(4) = 28 were collected with a known energy of E = 109.33kWh between 0 and 4. Knowing that the maximum power for this customer is $c_{max} = 250kW$, 7 linear optimization problems were solved: 2 for each the 3 intermediate points and one to find the estimated curve. The result is shown in Fig. 2: the original curve (LC) is represented in plain style (black curve), the interpolation (Inter.) is presented with dashed style (red curve), and the envelopes (Env.) are presented with dotted style (blue curves).



Figure 2: Naive estimation and envelope between two selected points

Estimation on a time series.

We now present the results of the approach on a daily time series, showing interpolation performed with two different temporal sampling rates. In these two experiments, sampling rates of 5 (Fig. 3(a)) and 20 (Fig. 3(b)) were used.



Figure 3: Naive time series estimation and envelope

Each chart of Fig. 3 shows the original time series (plain black line), the reconstruction using the Naive approach (dashed red line) and the envelope (dotted blue line). It is clear that for both sampling rates, the estimated curve is close to the original one. We also note that the higher is the sampling rate, the larger is the envelope.

However, if sampling rates are chosen using an optimization technique that gives a larger sampling rate to time series which lightly fluctuate, the envelope in this case gives a very pessimistic estimation of errors. In fact, this approach does not provide any probability that a time series is inside the envelope since there is no underlying stochastic model. The second approach we propose, based on a stochastic model, provides such a probability in the form of a confidence interval.

2.2 Stochastic approach

The idea behind this second interpolation approach using a stochastic process is that fluctuations in consumption are random. Indeed, variations in an electric power consumption time series are induced by the start of a domestic activity such as meal preparation, launch of the washing machine, turn off of an electric heater, etc. Transitions between these activities can be modeled by a Markov process [5]. Even if it is hardly feasible to have a precise view of what this process should be on the basis of day-to-day behavior of each individual, this justifies the existence of randomness in the time series. As it is usually done, we presuppose that the global effect of all small fluctuations can be summarized by Brownian fluctuations. Moreover, an electric consumption is always a non-negative number, leading us to use a nonnegative process with Brownian behavior. The simplest of them all is the so-called geometric Brownian motion (see below) which is also the basic model for the evolution of assets in mathematical finance.

2.2.1 Time series reconstruction and error estimation

We assume that the time series C(t) follows a geometric Brownian process that is to say:

$$C(t) = C(t_a) \exp(\rho t + \beta B_t^1),$$

where B^1 is a one-dimensional standard Brownian motion. Furthermore, e(t) is the consumed energy corresponding to the moment t (integral value at t), hence

$$de(t) = C(t)dt$$
 or $e(t_b) - e(t_a) = \int_{t_a}^{t_b} C(t) dt$.

Remind $C(t_a)$, $C(t_b)$, $e(t_a)$ and $e(t_b)$ are known. It is therefore difficult to simulate such a process since a stochastic behavior is antonymous to deterministic limit conditions. The usual techniques are useless here because they involve intractable computations. We borrowed an idea from [4] which consists in constructing a simpler process which is relatively easy to simulate. We take into account the limit conditions and then use the Girsanov theorem. The detailed working of this problem's solution is available as supplementary material [2].

For any function f defined on $[t_a, t_b]$, the solution is given by the following expression of expectation:

$$E\left[f(C,e) \left| \begin{pmatrix} C(t_a)\\ e(t_a) \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} C(t_b)\\ e(t_b) \end{pmatrix} \right] = \frac{E[f(Q^0, R^0)M(Q^0, R^0)]}{E[M(Q^0, R^0)]}$$
(1)

Where M is a function depending on two processes Q^0 and R^0 that can be easily simulated.

To estimate the time series between two sampled points t_a and t_b , we use a constant function defined by f(X) = X. Then, Equation 1 becomes

$$E\left[C(t) \left| \begin{pmatrix} C(t_a) \\ e(t_a) \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} C(t_b) \\ e(t_b) \end{pmatrix} \right]$$

To compute the variance (error estimation), we use the function $f(X) = (X - E(X))^2$ as $Var(X) = E[X - E(X)^2]$, and we apply this function to the result given by Eq.(1):

$$E\left[\left(C(t) - E(C(t))^{2} \middle| \begin{pmatrix} C(t_{a}) \\ e(t_{a}) \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} C(t_{b}) \\ e(t_{b}) \end{pmatrix} \right]$$
(2)

To estimate parameters of the stochastic approach, we use the known properties of the geometric Brownian motion. Indeed, we know that $\ln(C(t))$ between t_a and t_b follows a normal distribution with a mean equal to $\rho(t_b - t_a)$. Therefore, we estimate ρ using:

$$\rho = \frac{1}{(t_b - t_a)} (\ln(C(t_b)) - \ln(C(t_a))).$$

Parameter β can be estimated from historical data or using simulations. For instance, we can compute an approximation of the time series using a second-degree polynomial that respects the constraint of energy. We can perform several simulations with different values of β to get one that approaches the polynomial. In our experiments, we have fixed parameter β to be equal to 1.

2.2.2 Example

We study the same time series as that used for the Naive approach, i.e a day curve of 48 measurements (measurements every 30 minutes).

Estimation between two collected values.

We use the same sub-time series as in the naive approach with 5 values:

$$C = \{31.67, 30.33, 24.33, 23, 28\}$$

we recall that the sampling rate is 4. We seek to interpolate the time series between C(0) = 31.67 and C(4) = 28knowing the energy consumed E = 109.33KWh ($e(t_a) = 0$ and $e(t_b) = E$). We used the following parameters for the conditioned geometric Brownian motion:

$$\beta = 1, \quad \rho = \frac{1}{(t_b - t_a)} (\ln(C(t_b)) - \ln(C(t_a))) = 0.62.$$

Fig. 4 shows the result of interpolation (Inter.) represented by the red dashed curve. The envelope of standard deviation around this interpolation (+/- one standard deviation) is represented by the blue dotted curve (CI). The black curve (LC) is the original curve C.

Estimation on a time series.

We applied the stochastic approach to interpolate the day time series passing through selected points. Fig. 5 shows the result of interpolation using two sampling rates. A first sampling rate of 5 is applied and results are shown in Fig. 5(a). A second sampling rate of 20 is shown in Fig. 5(b).

Each chart of Fig. 5 shows the original time series (plain black line), the reconstruction using the brownian stochastic approach (dashed red line) and the confidence interval (dotted blue line). As in the Naive approach, it is clear that for both sampling rates, the estimated time series is close to the original one. Moreover, unlike the Naive approach, the envelope does not seem to be pessimistic in the example. Indeed, we have an analytical expression (eq. (2)) that allows us to compute a real confidence interval.

3. EXPERIMENTAL STUDY



Figure 4: Brownian stochastic estimation between two selected points



Figure 5: Brownian stochastic time series estimation and confidence interval

We report in this paper experiments carried out on a real data set of 1000 electric meters, each meter measuring the electric power consumption of one customer. The data set consists of 1000 times series with one measure every 10 minutes during one day (144 measurements per meter per day). It has been used to assess the efficiency of the approach in the case of small domain estimation: we estimate the aggregated sum of daily time series for a small sub-population, i.e., a small subset of meters.

The reported experiments show the results for two compression rates (50% and 10%) and compare the temporal sampling approach (data are collected from all meters but not at all timestamps) with a spatial random sampling approach (data are collected only from a random sample of meters but at all timestamps). A compression rate of 10% (resp. 50%) means: (1) in the spatial approach the size of the random sample of meters is 100 (resp. 500); (2) in the temporal approach only one value every 10 (resp. 2) timestamps is kept. The reported experiments show the average relative error between the estimated aggregated time series (ErrorAvg in Fig. 6a and 6b) and the associated relative confidence interval (CI). The confidence intervals are defined as follows:

- spatial sampling: the 68% Horvitz-Thompson confidence interval
- temporal sampling with naive estimation: sum of individual sizes of the envelopes with α_{min} adjusted with X = 0.68
- temporal sampling with stochastic estimation: sum of individual confidence intervals corresponding to +/- 1 standard variation

The experiments were carried out over different sizes of small domains N_a on which the aggregated time series were computed. These domains are of size

 $N_a \in \{10, 20, 30, 50, 100, 200, 300, 400, 500\}$

In order to have an idea of the average behavior for each domain size, 100 Monte Carlo simulations were made.

In the case of spatial sampling with a 10% compression rate, it may happen with very small domains that either no or a very small number of time series belong simultaneously to the sample and to the domain. In this case, another estimator is used (called 'synthetic' estimator) which uses the whole sample instead of the small domain selection on the sample. This leads to the 'Synt.' blue curve in Fig. 6b.

Fig. 6a reports the results with a compression rate of 50%, i.e. the time series are summarized by dividing by 2 their original size. The lower chart shows the average relative error (measured in terms of Sum of Square Errors (SSE)) over the size of the domains on which the aggregation is done. The upper chart shows the size of the confidence intervals as defined above. As one can see, temporal sampling in this case always gives a better estimation than spatial sampling. The Naive estimation appears to be a little better than the stochastic brownian approach. As for the confidence interval, the naive envelope is better than the brownian confidence interval, but we recall here that there is no probabilistic interpretation to the confidence interval of the naive approach. With no surprise, the confidence interval of the spatial sampling decreases when the domain size increases, and ends up to be better than the ones of temporal sampling.

Fig. 6b reports the results with a compression rate of 10%, i.e. the time series are summarized by dividing by 10 their original size. We observe that temporal sampling with Naive estimation still gives the best estimation. The Brownian stochastic estimation is also very good. The results show clearly that temporal sampling is better than spatial sampling for small domains, even when the size of the domain is 500 (half of the dataset here). Note that for small domains the 'synth' spatial sampling estimator is always better than the standard Horvitz-Thompson one. As for the confidence interval, the temporal sampling confidence intervals are almost always worse than the spatial sampling one: this can be explained by the fact that the confidence interval in the temporal sampling approach is pessimistic since it is computed by adding confidence intervals of every time series which are aggregated (all time series are considered separately: errors cannot cancel each other out in the confidence interval computation).



Figure 6: Spatial sampling Vs. Temporal sampling

4. CONCLUSIONS AND PERSPECTIVES

Within the context of storing a summarized version of a large set of time series issued from distributed sensors, we have shown that in many cases the time series may be observed at different timestamps. This is a problem when one wants to compute aggregates over a subset of the time series. The standard solution is to interpolate missing values and aggregate interpolated values. In the case where both the time series and their integrals are known, we have proposed two new approaches which take into account this information and provides also a confidence interval. Experiments have been reported to show that these approaches are efficient for estimation of the aggregated sum of time series over small domains, in particular if there are compared to another approach for summarizing distributed time series which naturally keeps all the time series values: the collection of a random sample of the sensors. This work opens several perspectives which are worth studying, mainly:

- develop the naive estimation method which gives a very accurate estimation, in order to provide a probabilistic confidence interval
- take into account possible correlations between values issued by different sensors
- propose an hybrid approach combining temporal and spatial sampling with a probabilistic confidence interval

5. REFERENCES

- G.C. Cawley, N.L.C. Talbot, R.J. Foxall, S.R. Dorling, D.P. Mandic *Heteroscedastic kernel ridge regression*, Neurocomputing Volume 57, March 2004, Pages 105-124.
- [2] R.Chiky, L. Decreusefond and G.Hébrail. Supplementary material, available at http://www.infres.enst.fr/~chiky/edbt2010.
- [3] R.Chiky and G.Hébrail. Summarizing Distributed Data Streams For Storage in Data Warehouses. DaWak 2008 Turin (Italy).

- [4] B. Delyon et Y.Hu. Simulation of conditioned diffusion and application to parameter estimation. Stochastic Processes and their Applications, Volume 116, Issue 11, November 2006.
- [5] J.B. Durand, L. Bozzi, G. Celeux, C. Derquenne. Analyse de courbes de consommation électrique par chaînes de Markov cachées. Revue de Statistique Appliquée, 52 no. 4 (2004), p. 71-91.
- [6] J. Gehrke, F. Korn, and D. Srivastava. On computing correlated aggregates over continual data streams. In Proceedings of the 2001 SIGMOD Conference, pages 13-24, 2001.
- [7] A. Gilbert, Y. Kotidis, S. Muthukrishnan, and M. Strauss. Quicksand : Quick summary and analysis of network data. Dimacs technical report, Department of Computer Science, Brown University, 2001.
- [8] J. N. K. Rao. Small Area Estimation. Hardcover, 344 pages, ISBN: 978-0-471-41374-5, January 2003.